



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

880, 889, Fletcher Moulton, L. J., expressed the opinion that the matter should be reviewed by the House of Lords, because, in his lordship's view, the present state of things is highly anomalous. It was different when a husband could say to his wife: "What is thine is mine, and what is mine is my own;" when, according to the old legal joke, in matters of property, the law regarded husband and wife as one, and the husband that one. In those days, as Earle, C. J., said in *Capel v. Powell*, in 1864, 17 C. B. N. S. 743, 748, seeing that all her property was vested in the husband, it would be idle to sue the wife alone—the action would be fruitless.

In conclusion I would submit, with all proper deference, that the Ontario Legislature, relieved as it is of many duties and functions proper to a legislature, by the Dominion Parliament, and of others by the Imperial Parliament, might do worse than appoint a Commission to take evidence and to report whether on these or any other points, our common law ought not to be altered or modified so as to make it even more worthy than it is now, of the respect in which we justly hold it.—Canada Law Journal.

IN VACATION.

Secondary Evidence of Pleasure.—Casey: It's the iligant time Oi had lasht Saturday. Divil a thing can I remember afther 4 o'clock.

O'Brien: Thin how d'ye know ye had a good toime?

Casey: Shure, didn't Oi hear th' cop tellin' the joodge about it on Monday mornin'?—Boston Transcript.

The Reason of the Thing.

But why do folks purfur to to liddigate,
And all deir money spend,
When dey can simply a'bitrate,
And hab a little left to lend?

Well, I don't know what uddehs say,
Dat to dem a'pear dé causes,
But to me, it's jes' Gawd's own 'pointed way
Ob takin' care de lawyehs.

—Will W. Ackerly in "Case and Comment."